

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12360

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12361

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12362

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12363

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or content of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12364

Claimant: REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12365

Claimant: O&Y ENTERPRISE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12366

Claimant: MORGUARD INVESTMENTS LIMITED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12367

Claimant: MORGUARD INVESTMENTS LIMITED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12368

Claimant: MC MASTER UNIVERSITY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12370

Claimant: COCA COLA ENTERPRISES INC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12372

Claimant: COCA COLA ENTERPRISES INC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12375

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12376

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12377

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12378

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12379

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12382

Claimant: COCA COLA ENTERPRISES INC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12383

Claimant: COCA COLA ENTERPRISES INC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12384

Claimant: COCA COLA ENTERPRISES INC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12385

Claimant: CITY OF EDMONTON,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12387

Claimant: CITY OF EDMONTON,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12388

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12389

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12390

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12391

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12392

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12393

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12394

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12395

Claimant: CARLETON UNIVERSITY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12396

Claimant: FAIRMAIL LEASEHOLD INC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12397

Claimant: BELL CANADA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12398

Claimant: EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12400

Claimant: CITY OF EDMONTON,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12401

Claimant: CITY OF EDMONTON,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12405

Claimant: SOUTHERN ONTARIO PROPERTIES,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12406

Claimant: SHERIDAN COLLEGE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12407

Claimant: SHERIDAN COLLEGE C WING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12408

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12409

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12410

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12411

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12412

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12413

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12414

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12415

Claimant: CARLETON UNIVERSITY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12416

Claimant: CARLETON UNIVERSITY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12417

Claimant: CARLETON UNIVERSITY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12418

Claimant: CARLETON UNIVERSITY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12419

Claimant: CARLETON UNIVERSITY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12420

Claimant: CARLETON UNIVERSITY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12421

Claimant: OXFORD PROPERTIES GROUP,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12422

Claimant: OXFORD PROPERTIES GROUP,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12423

Claimant: OXFORD PROPERTIES GROUP,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12424

Claimant: SHELL CANADA INC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12425

Claimant: MORGUARD REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12427

Claimant: MORGUARD INVESTMENTS LIMITED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12428

Claimant: MORGUARD INVESTMENTS LIMITED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12429

Claimant: MORGUARD INVESTMENTS LIMITED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12430

Claimant: MORGUARD INVESTMENTS LIMITED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12431

Claimant: LABATT BREWING COMPANY LIMITED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12432

Claimant: LABATT BREWING COMPANY LIMITED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12433

Claimant: THE RECORD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12434

Claimant: CARLETON UNIVERSITY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12435

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12436

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12437

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12438

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12439

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 12440

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.